

ResearchPro International Multidisciplinary Journal



Vol- 2, Issue- 3, July-September 2026

ISSN (O)- 3107-9679

Email id: editor@researchprojournal.com

Website- www.researchprojournal.com

The Partition of Naval Assets and Its Impact on the Indian Navy, 1947–1962

Amit Kapil

Research Scholar, Department of History, Himachal Pradesh University

Article Info: (Received- 09/02/2026, Accepted- 08/04/2026, Published- 03/07/2026)

DOI- [10.70650/rpimj.2026v2i300004](https://doi.org/10.70650/rpimj.2026v2i300004)

Abstract

The partition of British India in 1947 marked a significant turning point in the history of the Indian Navy, reshaping its organizational structure, operational capabilities, and strategic outlook. The division of the Royal Indian Navy's assets between India and Pakistan involved the allocation of warships, naval establishments, dockyard facilities, equipment, and personnel, creating a range of administrative, logistical, and operational challenges for the newly independent Indian state. This study examines the process of naval partition and its implications for the development of the Indian Navy between 1947 and 1962. It explores the immediate effects of asset redistribution, personnel shortages, and institutional reorganization, while situating these developments within the broader context of post-independence nation-building and defence planning. The study also investigates the constraints imposed by limited financial resources, the relatively low priority accorded to maritime defence in the early years of independence, and the challenges involved in establishing an independent naval command structure. Despite these difficulties, the Indian Navy gradually strengthened its institutional framework through modernization, professional training, and strategic adaptation. Drawing upon official records, parliamentary debates, government documents, memoirs, and secondary literature, this paper argues that although partition initially weakened India's naval capabilities, it also created conditions that encouraged organizational reform and long-term growth. The study demonstrates that the experience of partition played a crucial role in shaping the foundations, identity, and strategic direction of the Indian Navy during the formative years of independent India.

Introduction

The partition of British India in August 1947 represented one of the most consequential political and administrative transformations in the history of South Asia (Roy, 2006). While the political, social, and humanitarian dimensions of partition have received extensive scholarly attention, its impact on the military institutions of the successor states remains comparatively understudied. Among these institutions, the Royal Indian Navy underwent a complex process of division that significantly

influenced the future development of the naval forces of both India and Pakistan. The partition of naval assets involved not only the redistribution of ships, equipment, and shore establishments but also the allocation of trained personnel, technical expertise, and administrative infrastructure. These changes posed considerable challenges for the newly independent Indian state at a critical moment of national consolidation and defence reorganization (Chatterji, 2007; Ministry of Defence, 1963).

At the time of independence, the Royal Indian Navy was a relatively small force when compared with the Army and the Air Force. Nevertheless, it held strategic importance due to India's extensive coastline, maritime trade routes, and growing security concerns in the Indian Ocean region. The division of naval resources between India and Pakistan was undertaken according to principles formulated by the Partition Council and military authorities, but the process was complicated by differences in operational requirements, geographical considerations, and personnel preferences (Singh, 1986). As a result, the Indian Navy inherited a naval force that was required to adapt rapidly to new political realities while simultaneously addressing shortages in manpower, equipment, and infrastructure.

The years between 1947 and 1962 constituted a formative period in the history of the Indian Navy. During these years, the service faced the dual challenge of overcoming the immediate consequences of partition and establishing itself as an effective instrument of national defence, (Goldrick, 1997). Unlike the Army, which received considerable attention because of the conflicts in Kashmir and along India's land frontiers, the Navy occupied a relatively marginal position in defence planning during the early post-independence period. Budgetary constraints, competing national priorities, and the predominance of continental security concerns limited the resources available for naval expansion. Despite these limitations, the Indian Navy undertook important steps toward institutional consolidation, modernization, and professional development (Panikkar, 1951; Ministry of Defence, 1963).

This study examines the partition of naval assets and its impact on the Indian Navy between 1947 and 1962. It seeks to analyze the distribution of ships, establishments, and personnel during partition and to assess the challenges that emerged from this. The study further explores how the Indian Navy responded to these difficulties through organizational reforms, training initiatives, and modernization efforts. By examining official records, government reports, parliamentary debates, memoirs, and secondary literature, the research situates naval development within the broader context of post-colonial state formation and defence policy. The central argument of this study is that although the partition of naval assets initially weakened India's maritime capabilities and disrupted existing institutional arrangements, it also provided an opportunity for the creation of a distinctly national naval force. The experience of overcoming the challenges inherited from partition contributed significantly to the development of the Indian Navy's institutional identity, operational effectiveness, and long-term strategic vision. Consequently, the period from 1947 to 1962 occupies a crucial place in understanding the evolution of India's maritime power and the foundations of its modern naval establishment.

Materials and Methods

This study employs a qualitative historical research methodology to examine the partition of naval assets between India and Pakistan in 1947 and its impact on the development of the Indian Navy from 1947 to 1962. The research is based on the critical analysis of primary sources, including government records, defence reports, parliamentary debates, and naval documents, supplemented by secondary sources such as scholarly books, journal articles, and military histories. Descriptive and analytical

approaches are used to reconstruct the partition process and evaluate its consequences. Source criticism and cross-verification ensure reliability, providing a comprehensive understanding of the Indian Navy's formative development.

Historical Background: The Royal Indian Navy before 1947

The Royal Indian Navy (RIN) was the naval force of British India and played an important role in protecting British maritime interests in the Indian Ocean region. Its origins can be traced to the naval service of the East India Company, from which it gradually developed into a modern naval organization during the colonial period. Although the RIN remained relatively small before the Second World War, it expanded rapidly between 1939 and 1945 to support Allied military operations in the Indian Ocean, the Persian Gulf, and Southeast Asia (Roy, 2013; Chaudhury, 1995). By the end of the war, the navy possessed a variety of vessels, including sloops, frigates, minesweepers, patrol craft, and auxiliary ships, which enhanced its operational capabilities (Parmar, 2014; Raja, 2007).

The administration of the RIN was highly centralized and remained largely under British control. Most senior positions were held by British officers, while Indians served mainly in junior officer and enlisted ranks. The navy's principal establishments were located at Bombay, Karachi, Cochin, and Visakhapatnam, which served as important centres for operations, training, maintenance, and logistics. Despite its expansion during the war, the RIN continued to depend heavily on British leadership, technical expertise, and administrative support because opportunities for Indians in higher command and technical positions remained limited (Singh, 1993; Sharma, 2003; Ministry of Defence, 1963).

The partition of British India in 1947 was the outcome of growing political differences between the Indian National Congress and the All-India Muslim League regarding the future political structure of the subcontinent. The demand for a separate Muslim state, led by Muhammad Ali Jinnah, eventually resulted in the creation of two independent countries, India and Pakistan, on 15 August 1947 (Singh, 2002). With the transfer of power, it became necessary to divide the armed forces of British India, including the Royal Indian Navy, between the two newly established states (Parmar, 2020; Singh, 1986).

Partition and the Division of Naval Assets: The division of naval assets was a complex task because ships, personnel, bases, and training facilities were not distributed evenly across the region. Pakistan inherited Karachi as its principal naval base, whereas India retained most of the naval infrastructure, dockyards, repair facilities, and training establishments, particularly at Bombay. The allocation of naval resources was influenced by several factors, including coastal defence requirements, maritime trade routes, and the security needs of both countries (Panikkar, 1951). As a result, the partition of the Royal Indian Navy became an important event in the naval history of South Asia. It laid the foundation for the development of the Indian and Pakistani navies and had a lasting impact on their growth in the years following independence (Mansergh, 1982; Singh, 1992; Uday Bhaskar, 2014).

The partition of British India in August 1947 required the division of the armed forces, including the Royal Indian Navy (RIN), between the newly created states of India and Pakistan (Mahajan, 2000). Unlike the army, the navy was relatively small, but its assets were strategically important for maritime security and coastal defense (Singh, 2002; Tripathi, 1962). The division was carried out under the supervision of the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee, which sought to allocate ships, personnel, and infrastructure in a manner that reflected the requirements of both dominions (Singh, 1992).

The distribution of naval assets was based on operational needs, geographical considerations, and the relative size of the two countries. India received the larger share of the fleet, including most sloops, frigates, minesweepers, and auxiliary vessels. Pakistan, with a shorter coastline and fewer naval establishments, received a smaller number of ships. However, the allocation process was complicated by shortages of trained personnel, technical specialists, and experienced officers. Many officers had to choose between service in India or Pakistan, creating administrative and operational difficulties for both navies.

A major challenge arose from the uneven distribution of naval infrastructure. India retained important dockyards, repair facilities, training institutions, and administrative headquarters, particularly at Bombay. Pakistan inherited Karachi as its principal naval base but lacked many of the supporting facilities necessary for long-term naval development. As a result, Pakistan faced significant difficulties in maintaining and expanding its naval capabilities during the early years of independence.

The partition of naval assets had lasting consequences for both countries. While India benefited from stronger infrastructure and a larger share of vessels, it still had to reorganize the navy, expand indigenous leadership, and reduce dependence on British officers. The division therefore represented not only a redistribution of military resources but also the beginning of two separate naval traditions in South Asia. Its effects continued to shape maritime strategy and naval modernization in the years that followed. Following the partition of British India in 1947, the division of the Royal Indian Navy (RIN) was guided by principles established by the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee. The objective was to distribute naval assets between India and Pakistan according to their strategic requirements and geographical circumstances. Since India possessed a larger coastline, greater maritime responsibilities, and a larger population, it received a greater proportion of naval resources. The allocation process considered operational effectiveness, defence needs, and the availability of infrastructure. Efforts were made to ensure that both dominions could establish functioning naval forces immediately after independence.

Distribution of Ships, Personnel, and Infrastructure: The division of naval assets included warships, auxiliary vessels, personnel, dockyards, training establishments, and shore-based facilities. India received the majority of the fleet, including most sloops, frigates, minesweepers, and support vessels. Pakistan obtained a smaller number of ships in accordance with its projected naval requirements (Singh, 1986; (Lok Sabha Debates, 1957; Ministry of Defence, 1963). The distribution of personnel proved more complex, as officers and sailors were permitted to choose service in either navy based on nationality and personal preference.

Infrastructure was unevenly distributed. India retained major naval establishments at Bombay, Cochin, and Visakhapatnam, along with important training and maintenance facilities. Pakistan inherited Karachi as its principal naval base but lacked extensive dockyard and training infrastructure. This imbalance had a significant impact on the future development of both navies' requirements (Singh, 1986; Lok Sabha Debates, 1957; Ministry of Defence, 1963).

Challenges of Reorganization and Indianization: The partition process created several immediate challenges for both India and Pakistan. The transfer of ships, equipment, and personnel had to be completed within a short period, often resulting in administrative confusion. Shortages of experienced officers and technical specialists affected operational efficiency. Many senior positions continued to be filled by British officers during the transition period (Singh, 1993; (Lok Sabha Debates, 1957; Ministry of Defence, 1963).

The uneven distribution of naval facilities created additional difficulties, particularly for Pakistan, which had limited maintenance and training capabilities. India, despite inheriting most naval infrastructure, faced the challenge of reorganizing the navy under national leadership and integrating personnel into a new command structure (Singh, 1993; Lok Sabha Debates, 1957; Ministry of Defence, 1963). Furthermore, the political tensions accompanying partition complicated cooperation between the two dominions. These challenges influenced naval planning and development during the early years of independence and shaped the future trajectories of both navies. The partition of naval assets in 1947 had a profound impact on the development of the Indian Navy during its formative years. Although India inherited the larger share of ships, dockyards, and training facilities, the transition from the Royal Indian Navy to an independent national navy was accompanied by significant organizational and operational challenges. The departure of many British officers and the division of experienced personnel created shortages in leadership and technical expertise, affecting the navy's immediate readiness and efficiency. One of the primary challenges facing the Indian Navy was the process of reorganization and Indianization (Singh, 1993; Lok Sabha Debates, 1957; Ministry of Defence, 1963). Following independence, efforts were made to replace British officers with Indian personnel and establish an autonomous command structure. Training institutions were strengthened to produce skilled officers and sailors capable of meeting the country's maritime security requirements. This period witnessed the gradual emergence of Indian leadership within the naval hierarchy, laying the foundation for a professional and nationally controlled naval force. The years between 1947 and 1962 also saw significant modernization and expansion. The Government of India recognized the importance of maritime security in protecting trade routes, coastal regions, and national interests in the Indian Ocean. Consequently, new ships were acquired from foreign sources, naval infrastructure was improved, and maintenance facilities were expanded. The navy began to develop a long-term strategic outlook, moving beyond its colonial role toward a broader national defence mission.

The legacy of partition also influenced India's maritime strategy. Possession of major naval bases such as Bombay, Cochin, and Visakhapatnam enabled the Indian Navy to expand its operational capabilities more effectively than Pakistan. Nevertheless, limited financial resources and the government's initial focus on land-based defence restricted the pace of naval growth. Despite these constraints, the navy made steady progress in strengthening its fleet and improving its organizational structure. By 1962, the Indian Navy had transformed from a colonial auxiliary force into an emerging national institution with expanding capabilities. The challenges created by partition ultimately encouraged reforms, modernization, and the development of indigenous leadership, which contributed significantly to the future growth of India's maritime power. Following partition in 1947, the Indian Navy faced significant organizational and administrative difficulties. The division of personnel between India and Pakistan resulted in shortages of experienced officers, engineers, and technical staff. Many senior positions continued to be occupied by British officers during the transition period, reflecting the limited availability of trained Indian personnel. The navy also had to establish new administrative systems, command structures, and recruitment procedures suited to the requirements of an independent state. These challenges affected operational readiness and required extensive institutional reforms during the early years of independence.

Recognizing the importance of maritime security, the Government of India initiated measures to modernize and expand the navy. New vessels were acquired to replace aging wartime ships inherited from the Royal Indian Navy. Investments were made in naval dockyards, repair facilities, and communication systems to improve operational

efficiency. The navy gradually expanded its fleet through the acquisition of destroyers, frigates, and support vessels from foreign sources, particularly the United Kingdom. These efforts reflected a growing commitment to strengthening India's maritime defense capabilities and securing its extensive coastline.

A major objective of post-independence naval policy was the development of indigenous capabilities. The process of Indianization involved replacing British officers with Indian personnel and expanding training opportunities for naval officers and sailors. Institutions such as the Naval Academy and specialized technical training centers played an important role in developing professional expertise. Efforts were also made to encourage domestic shipbuilding and maintenance capabilities, reducing dependence on foreign assistance. Although progress was gradual, these initiatives laid the foundation for a self-reliant and professionally managed navy (Roy 1995; Lok Sabha Debates, 1957).

The partition of naval assets significantly influenced India's maritime strategy. While India inherited most of the naval infrastructure and a larger share of vessels, it also faced the responsibility of protecting extensive coastlines and maritime trade routes (Singh, 2002). During the period from 1947 to 1962, the navy increasingly focused on safeguarding national interests in the Indian Ocean region. The emergence of Pakistan as a separate maritime power and the broader security challenges of the Cold War encouraged strategic planning and naval preparedness. Although defense policy remained largely army-oriented during the early years of independence, the Indian Navy gradually established itself as an essential component of national security and regional stability.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this study reveal that the partition of the Royal Indian Navy (RIN) in 1947 constituted a decisive moment in the evolution of India's maritime forces. Although the division of naval assets was less extensive than that of the Army, its consequences were significant because it affected the institutional foundations, operational capabilities, and strategic development of the Indian Navy during its formative years. The results indicate that the partition created a complex combination of opportunities and challenges, shaping the trajectory of naval development between 1947 and 1962.

One of the most important outcomes of the partition was the unequal distribution of naval infrastructure. India inherited the majority of the RIN's dockyards, repair facilities, training establishments, and administrative headquarters, particularly at Bombay, Cochin, and Visakhapatnam. These facilities provided India with a substantial institutional advantage and enabled the continuation of naval operations with relatively limited disruption. In contrast, Pakistan inherited Karachi as its principal naval base but lacked the extensive support infrastructure necessary for long-term naval expansion. Consequently, India entered the post-independence period with a stronger foundation for maritime development.

However, the possession of superior infrastructure did not eliminate the challenges created by partition. The transfer of personnel and resources generated significant administrative difficulties. The division of officers and sailors disrupted existing command structures and reduced the availability of experienced personnel. This problem was particularly acute in technical branches, where trained specialists were limited in number. Furthermore, the withdrawal of British officers after independence created a leadership vacuum that could not be immediately filled by Indian personnel. As a result, the Indian Navy faced the dual challenge of maintaining operational readiness while simultaneously building an indigenous cadre of officers capable of

assuming command responsibilities.

The study demonstrates that the process of Indianization became one of the central priorities of naval policy during the period under examination. Independence required the transformation of a colonial naval force into a national institution accountable to a sovereign democratic state. This transition involved not only the replacement of British officers with Indian personnel but also the development of new administrative practices, professional standards, and strategic objectives. The gradual appointment of Indian officers to senior command positions represented a significant step toward institutional autonomy and national control. By the late 1950s, Indian leadership had become firmly established within the naval hierarchy, marking a major achievement in the post-partition reconstruction process.

The findings also highlight the influence of broader political and strategic priorities on naval development. During the first decade after independence, Indian defence policy was largely shaped by continental concerns rather than maritime considerations. The conflict in Jammu and Kashmir (1947–48), tensions with Pakistan, and emerging challenges along the northern frontier led policymakers to prioritize the Army in terms of defence expenditure and strategic planning. Consequently, the Navy occupied a secondary position within the national security framework. Limited financial allocations constrained fleet modernization, delayed infrastructure expansion, and restricted the acquisition of advanced naval technology.

Despite these constraints, the Indian Navy achieved notable progress in modernization and professional development. The acquisition of new vessels from Britain and other sources enabled the gradual replacement of aging wartime ships inherited from the Royal Indian Navy. Simultaneously, investments in training institutions, dockyards, communication networks, and maintenance facilities strengthened the Navy's organizational capacity. These measures reflected an increasing recognition of the importance of maritime power in safeguarding national interests and securing sea lines of communication. Although modernization proceeded slowly, it established the foundations for future naval expansion.

Another significant finding concerns the emergence of a distinct maritime outlook within Indian strategic thinking. Under British rule, the Royal Indian Navy functioned primarily as an auxiliary component of imperial defence strategy. After independence, however, the Navy was required to define its role within the context of national security. India's extensive coastline, dependence on maritime trade, and growing interests in the Indian Ocean region gradually encouraged policymakers to view naval power as an essential instrument of state policy. While maritime concerns did not dominate defence planning during the period from 1947 to 1962, the foundations of an independent naval strategy began to emerge.

The study further suggests that the partition of naval assets had important psychological and institutional consequences. The challenges associated with personnel shortages, administrative reorganization, and resource constraints fostered a culture of adaptability within the Navy. Officers and sailors were compelled to assume new responsibilities and develop innovative approaches to organizational management. These experiences strengthened institutional cohesion and contributed to the development of a professional naval identity distinct from its colonial predecessor. In this respect, the difficulties generated by partition acted as a catalyst for institutional transformation rather than merely an obstacle to development. From a broader historical perspective, the findings challenge interpretations that view partition solely as a process of military fragmentation and decline. While the division of assets undoubtedly disrupted established structures and reduced operational efficiency in the short term,

it also created opportunities for institutional renewal. The necessity of constructing an independent naval establishment encouraged reforms in leadership, training, administration, and strategic planning. These reforms laid the groundwork for the subsequent growth of the Indian Navy during the 1960s and beyond.

The results demonstrate that the partition of the Royal Indian Navy had a profound influence on the development of the Indian Navy between 1947 and 1962. The immediate consequences included organizational disruption, personnel shortages, and financial constraints, all of which complicated the transition to independence. Nevertheless, the Navy successfully adapted to these challenges through Indianization, modernization, institutional reform, and strategic reorientation. By 1962, it had evolved from a colonial maritime force into a nationally controlled institution capable of supporting India's emerging role in the Indian Ocean. The experience of partition therefore occupies a central place in understanding the historical foundations of modern Indian naval power and the broader process of post-colonial state formation.

Conclusion

The partition of British India in 1947 marked a critical turning point in the history of the Indian Navy, fundamentally influencing its organizational structure, operational capabilities, and strategic development. The division of the Royal Indian Navy's assets between India and Pakistan involved the redistribution of ships, personnel, naval establishments, and supporting infrastructure, creating significant administrative and operational challenges during the transition to independence. Although India inherited a larger share of naval resources and infrastructure, it nevertheless faced shortages of experienced personnel, dependence on British officers, and the complex task of reorganizing a colonial naval force into a national institution.

The study demonstrates that the period from 1947 to 1962 was crucial in shaping the foundations of the modern Indian Navy. Despite limited financial resources and the predominance of land-based security concerns in India's defence policy, the Navy gradually strengthened its institutional framework through Indianization, professional training, organizational reforms, and selective modernization. The establishment of indigenous leadership and the development of independent administrative and operational capabilities enabled the Navy to overcome many of the constraints inherited from partition.

The findings suggest that partition should not be viewed solely as a process of disruption and loss. While it initially weakened naval preparedness and created significant challenges, it also encouraged institutional adaptation and long-term development. By 1962, the Indian Navy had evolved into a more cohesive, professional, and nationally oriented force. Consequently, the experience of partition played a formative role in shaping India's maritime strategy and laid the foundations for the emergence of the Indian Navy as a significant instrument of national security and regional influence in the decades that followed.

Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

References

1. Chatterji, J. (2007). *The spoils of partition: Bengal and India, 1947–1967*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Goldrick, J. (1997). *No easy answers: The development of the Navies of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka, 1945–1996*. Spantech & Lancer.
3. Government of India. (1946–1950). *Constituent Assembly debates*. Government of India.
4. Government of India. (1947). *Proceedings of the Partition Council relating to the division of armed forces*. National Archives of India.
5. Hiranandani, G. M. (2000). *Transition to triumph: Indian Navy 1965–1975*. Lancer Publishers.
6. Mahajan, S. (2000). *Independence and partition: The erosion of colonial power in India*. SAGE Publications.
7. Mansergh, N. (Ed.). (1970–1983). *The transfer of power, 1942–1947 (Vols. 1–12)*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
8. Ministry of Defence. (1963). *Annual report 1962–63*. Government of India Press.
9. Ministry of Defence. (Various years). *Annual reports*. Government of India.
10. Mullik, B. N. (1971). *My years with Nehru: The Chinese betrayal*. Allied Publishers.
11. Panikkar, K. M. (1951). *India and the Indian Ocean: An essay on the influence of sea power on Indian history (2nd ed.)*. George Allen & Unwin.
12. Parliament of India. (1947–1962). *Lok Sabha debates*. Government of India.
13. Parmar, S. S. (2014). Maritime security in the Indian Ocean. *Journal of Defence Studies*, 8(1), 49–63.
14. Raja, M. (2007). *Indian Navy: A perspective*. Knowledge World.
15. Roy, H. (2013). *Partitioned lives: Migrants, refugees, citizens in India and Pakistan, 1947–65*. Oxford University Press.
16. Roy, K. (2006). *War and society in colonial India, 1807–1945*. Oxford University Press.
17. Roy-Chaudhury, R. (1995). *Sea power and Indian security*. Brassey's.
18. Roy-Chaudhury, R. (2000). *India's maritime security*. Knowledge World.
19. Sarangi, C. S. (2020). The Indian Navy and PLA Navy in 1962. *The USI Journal*, 150(621), 321–329.
20. Singh, S. (1986). *Under two ensigns: The Indian Navy, 1945–1950*. Oxford & IBH Publishing.
21. Singh, S. (1993). *Blueprint to bluewater: The Indian Navy, 1951–65*. Directorate of Personnel Services, Naval Headquarters.
22. Singh, S. (1992b). *Blueprint to bluewater: The Indian Navy 1951–65*. Lancer Publishers.
23. Singh, S. (2002). *History of the Indian Navy*. Naval History Project, Indian Navy.
24. Tripathi, R. S. (1962). *History of ancient India*. Motilal Banarsidass.
25. Uday Bhaskar, C. (2014). The Indian Navy: Challenges of a 'Cinderella Service'. *The Northern Mariner / Le marin du nord*, 24(3-4), 75–81.

Cite this Article

"Amit Kapil", *"The Partition of Naval Assets and Its Impact on the Indian Navy, 1947–1962"*, ResearchPro International Multidisciplinary Journal (RPIMJ), ISSN: 3107-9679 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:3, July-September 2026.

“Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY), allowing others to use, share, modify, and distribute it with proper credit to the author.”