ResearchPro International Multidisciplinary Journal

Vol- 2, Issue- 1, January-March 2026 ISSN (O)- 3107-9679

Email id: editor@researchprojournal.com Website- www.researchprojournal.com

Agricultural Residues as Potential Hazards to Environment

Dr. Vishal Gupta

Associate Professor & Head, Department of Geography, Faculty of Arts, Siddharth University, Kapilvastu, U.P.

Article Info: *(Recieved- 03/01/2026, Accept- 10/02/2026, Published- 10/02/2026)*

DOI- 10.70650/rpimj.2026v2i1000010

Abstract

The burning of agricultural residues, commonly known as stubble burning, has become a significant environmental issue, particularly in agrarian economies such as India. This practice involves the intentional burning of crop residues like rice straw, wheat stubble, and sugarcane leaves to clear agricultural fields for the next cropping cycle. Although it offers short-term economic convenience to farmers by reducing labour and time, it leads to severe environmental degradation. This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the environmental problems associated with agricultural residue burning, including air pollution, climate change, soil degradation, biodiversity loss, and water contamination. It further examines the socio-economic factors driving this practice, evaluates existing policy frameworks, and explores sustainable alternatives. The study highlights that addressing this issue requires an integrated approach combining technological innovation, policy enforcement, economic incentives, and farmer awareness.

Keywords: Stubble burning, environmental pollution, sustainable agriculture, air quality, soil fertility, climate change

Introduction

Agriculture forms the backbone of many developing economies, providing food security, employment, and raw materials for industries. In India, agriculture supports nearly half of the population directly or indirectly. However, the intensification of agricultural practices has introduced several environmental challenges, one of the most pressing being the burning of agricultural residues. Agricultural residues refer to the leftover plant materials after harvesting, including straw, stalks, leaves, and husks. Traditionally, these residues were either used as fodder, fuel, or organic manure. However, with the advent of mechanized farming and high-yield crop varieties, the volume of residues has increased substantially, making their management a challenge. The practice of residue burning is especially prevalent in the rice–wheat cropping system of northern India. Farmers often burn residues to quickly clear fields for the next crop due to time constraints and economic limitations. While this method is efficient in the short term, it has far-reaching environmental consequences.This research paper aims to analyze the environmental problems associated with agricultural residue burning, identify its causes, and suggest sustainable solutions for mitigating its impacts.

Nature and Extent of Agricultural Residue Burning

Agricultural residue burning is a widespread phenomenon across various regions of the world, particularly in countries with intensive agricultural systems. In India, it is estimated that over 350–500 million tonnes of crop residues are generated annually, out of which a significant portion is burned in fields. The problem is most severe in the north western states such as Punjab, Haryana, and Western Uttar Pradesh, however there is lot of distress resulting at local level in the eastern Uttar Pradesh too, where the rice–wheat cropping system dominates. The introduction of combine harvesters has increased the amount of loose straw left in fields, making manual removal difficult. The narrow time window between harvesting paddy (October–November) and sowing wheat forces farmers to adopt quick methods like burning. Satellite imagery and remote sensing data reveal thousands of fire incidents during this period, indicating the scale of the problem.

Globally, similar practices are observed in countries like China, Indonesia, and parts of Africa, contributing to regional and global environmental pollution. The transboundary nature of air pollution makes this issue not just local but international in scope.

Causes of Agricultural Residue Burning

The persistence of agricultural residue burning is not attributable to a single cause; rather, it arises from a complex interaction of technological, economic, institutional, and socio-cultural factors. A comprehensive understanding of these drivers is essential for formulating effective mitigation strategies and promoting sustainable agricultural practices (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019). The following subsections examine the major causes responsible for the widespread occurrence of residue burning.

Mechanization of Agriculture

The rapid mechanization of agriculture has significantly contributed to the problem of residue burning. The widespread use of combine harvesters, particularly in regions with intensive cropping systems, has enhanced harvesting efficiency but simultaneously created challenges in residue management. These machines leave behind substantial quantities of loose straw and standing stubble, which are difficult to manage manually (Sidhu et al., 2007).

Unlike traditional harvesting methods, where crop residues were collected for use as fodder or fuel, mechanized harvesting disperses residues unevenly across the field. This increases the difficulty and cost of manual removal. Furthermore, certain residues such as paddy straw are less suitable as animal fodder due to their high silica content, reducing their economic value (Gupta et al., 2004). Consequently, farmers often resort to burning as a quick and convenient method for clearing fields.

Narrow Cropping Window and Time Constraints

In multiple cropping systems, particularly the rice–wheat system in northern India, farmers face a very limited time window between successive crops. After harvesting paddy, fields must be prepared quickly for wheat sowing to ensure optimal yields. Delays in sowing can significantly reduce productivity due to changing climatic conditions (NAAS, 2017).

Typically, the interval between harvesting and sowing is less than two to three weeks. During this period, farmers must remove residues, prepare the soil, and complete sowing operations. Given these constraints, burning becomes the most time-efficient method of residue management, allowing farmers to adhere to strict agricultural schedules (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019).

Economic Constraints and Cost Considerations

Economic limitations play a crucial role in the continued practice of residue burning. A large proportion of farmers in developing countries are small or marginal landholders with limited financial resources. The cost of alternative residue management technologies, such as Happy Seeders, straw balers, and rotavators, is often prohibitively high (World Bank, 2018).

In addition to the initial investment, farmers must also bear operational costs, including fuel, maintenance, and labour. In contrast, burning residues requires minimal financial input, making it an economically attractive option. Moreover, alternative methods do not always provide immediate financial returns, discouraging farmers from adopting them (Kumar et al., 2015).

Although government subsidies are available, access to these benefits is often hindered by administrative barriers and lack of awareness. As a result, economic constraints remain a major factor driving residue burning.

Labour Shortage and Rising Wages

Labour scarcity has become an increasingly important factor influencing residue burning. Rural-to-urban migration has reduced the availability of agricultural labour, leading to increased wages and higher costs for manual residue management (Tripathi et al., 2016).

Manual removal of crop residues is labour-intensive and time-consuming, making it less feasible under conditions of labour shortage. In contrast, burning is a quick and low-labour option, requiring minimal human effort. The rising cost of labour further incentivizes farmers to adopt burning as a cost-effective solution.

Lack of Awareness and Technical Knowledge

A lack of awareness about the environmental and health impacts of residue burning is another significant contributing factor. Many farmers are not fully informed about the long-term consequences, including soil degradation, air pollution, and climate change (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019). Additionally, knowledge about alternative residue management techniques is often limited. Even when farmers are aware of such methods, they may lack the technical expertise required for implementation. Agricultural extension services and training programs have not adequately reached all farming communities, particularly in remote and underdeveloped areas.

This gap in knowledge and technical capacity contributes to the persistence of traditional practices such as burning.

Limited Market for Crop Residues

The absence of a well-established market for crop residues further encourages burning. Although residues can be utilized for various purposes, including bioenergy production, composting, and industrial applications, the necessary infrastructure for collection, transportation, and processing is often lacking (Parihar et al., 2018). Farmers may find it economically unviable to sell residues due to low market demand and high transportation costs. In many cases, there are no nearby facilities or buyers willing to purchase agricultural waste. This lack of economic incentive discourages sustainable residue management and promotes burning as a disposal method.

Policy and Institutional Gaps

Despite the existence of regulations prohibiting residue burning, their enforcement remains inconsistent. Monitoring burning activities in rural areas is challenging, and penalties imposed on farmers are often ineffective deterrents (World Bank, 2018).

In some instances, political considerations and farmer resistance have led to weak enforcement of environmental laws. Additionally, coordination among different government agencies is often inadequate, resulting in fragmented policy implementation. The absence of a comprehensive and integrated policy framework reduces the effectiveness of existing measures (NAAS, 2017).

Socio-Cultural Practices and Behavioral Factors

Residue burning has become an established practice in many agricultural communities, influenced by long-standing traditions and social norms. Farmers often follow methods that have been practiced for generations, and changing these behaviors requires significant effort (Gupta et al., 2004).

Peer influence also plays an important role. When the majority of farmers in a region engage in burning, others are likely to follow suit to maintain consistency in farming practices. Resistance to adopting new technologies and skepticism about their effectiveness further hinder the transition to sustainable alternatives.

Climatic and Environmental Factors

Climatic conditions also facilitate residue burning. Dry weather during the post-harvest season creates favorable conditions for burning, allowing residues to be cleared quickly and efficiently. In contrast, wet conditions can hinder burning but are less common during the harvesting period in many regions (Jain et al., 2014). Environmental factors such as wind speed and direction influence the spread of fire and smoke. However, these factors are often overlooked by farmers who prioritize immediate agricultural needs over environmental considerations.

Environmental Problems Associated With Residue Burning

Agricultural residue burning has emerged as a major environmental concern due to its widespread and recurrent nature, particularly in intensively cultivated regions. The environmental impacts are multifaceted, affecting air quality, climate systems, soil health, water resources, biodiversity, and human well-being. These effects are not confined to local areas but often extend to regional and even global scales. A detailed examination of these environmental problems is presented below.

Air Pollution

Air pollution is the most immediate and visible consequence of agricultural residue burning. The combustion of crop residues releases a complex mixture of pollutants, including particulate matter (PM, ... and PM• •), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO,), methane (CH„), nitrogen oxides (NO“), sulfur dioxide (SO,), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Among these, fine particulate matter (PM, ...) is particularly hazardous because it can penetrate deep into the respiratory system and enter the bloodstream. Residue burning significantly deteriorates ambient air quality, especially during peak burning seasons. In northern India, for example, stubble burning contributes substantially to seasonal spikes in air pollution levels, particularly in urban centers like Delhi (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019). The emitted pollutants also participate in secondary chemical reactions in the atmosphere, leading to the formation of ground-level ozone and secondary aerosols, further aggravating air pollution. The transboundary nature of air pollution means that emissions from rural agricultural fields can travel hundreds of kilometers, affecting distant urban populations. This highlights the regional scale of the problem.

Climate Change

Agricultural residue burning contributes significantly to climate change by releasing greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as CO, , CH„ , and nitrous oxide (N, O). These gases trap

 ISSN (Online): 3107-9679

heat in the Earth's atmosphere, leading to global warming. Although CO, emissions from biomass burning are sometimes considered part of the natural carbon cycle, the large-scale and repeated burning of residues disrupts this balance and contributes to net atmospheric carbon increases.

Methane and nitrous oxide are particularly potent greenhouse gases, with global warming potentials much higher than carbon dioxide. Their release during incomplete combustion enhances the overall climate impact of residue burning (Abdurrahman et al., 2020).

In addition, the emission of black carbon (a component of soot) plays a critical role in climate forcing. Black carbon absorbs solar radiation and contributes to atmospheric warming. When deposited on snow and ice surfaces, particularly in the Himalayan region, it accelerates melting, thereby affecting regional hydrology and contributing to sea-level rise (Lan et al., 2022).

Soil Degradation

Residue burning has severe implications for soil health and long-term agricultural productivity. The high temperatures generated during burning (often exceeding 400–500°C) can destroy beneficial soil microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes, which are essential for nutrient cycling and soil fertility.

Burning also leads to the loss of vital nutrients present in crop residues. Studies indicate that a significant proportion of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulfur is lost to the atmosphere during burning, reducing soil nutrient content (Porichha et al., 2021). This necessitates increased use of chemical fertilizers, which can further degrade soil quality over time.

Moreover, repeated burning reduces soil organic carbon, which is crucial for maintaining soil structure, water retention, and fertility. The decline in organic matter leads to soil compaction, reduced infiltration, and increased susceptibility to erosion. Over time, these changes can result in declining crop yields and reduced agricultural sustainability.

Loss of Biodiversity

Agricultural ecosystems support a wide range of organisms, including soil fauna, insects, birds, and small mammals. Residue burning destroys habitats and directly kills many of these organisms, leading to a decline in biodiversity.

Soil organisms such as earthworms and microbes play a vital role in maintaining soil health by decomposing organic matter and enhancing nutrient availability. Their destruction disrupts ecological processes and reduces soil productivity.

Above-ground biodiversity is also affected. Birds and small animals that inhabit agricultural fields may lose their nesting sites and food sources. Beneficial insects, including pollinators and natural pest predators, are particularly vulnerable, which can lead to increased pest outbreaks and reduced crop yields.

The loss of biodiversity weakens ecosystem resilience, making agricultural systems more vulnerable to environmental stresses such as droughts and pests.

Impact on Water Resources

Although the direct impact of residue burning on water resources may not be immediately apparent, its indirect effects are significant. The loss of soil organic matter due to burning reduces the soil's ability to retain water, leading to increased runoff and decreased groundwater recharge.

Increased surface runoff can result in soil erosion, carrying ash, nutrients, and other pollutants into nearby water bodies. This can lead to water contamination and eutrophication, adversely affecting aquatic ecosystems.

Furthermore, the degradation of soil structure reduces infiltration rates, making soils less capable of absorbing and storing water. This not only affects crop productivity but also contributes to water scarcity in agricultural regions.

Human Health Impacts

The environmental effects of residue burning have direct and severe implications for human health. Exposure to pollutants released during burning can lead to a range of health problems, including respiratory diseases, cardiovascular disorders, and eye irritation.

Fine particulate matter (PM, ...) is particularly harmful, as it can penetrate deep into the lungs and cause inflammation and reduced lung function. Long-term exposure has been linked to chronic respiratory conditions such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), as well as increased risk of heart attacks and strokes (Raza et al., 2022).

Children, the elderly, and individuals with pre-existing health conditions are especially vulnerable. In regions affected by intense residue burning, there is a noticeable increase in hospital admissions and healthcare costs during peak burning periods.

Smog Formation and Visibility Reduction

Residue burning is a major contributor to the formation of smog, particularly during winter months when meteorological conditions such as low wind speed and temperature inversion trap pollutants near the ground. The interaction of emitted gases with atmospheric moisture leads to the formation of dense smog layers.

Smog reduces visibility, creating hazardous conditions for transportation, including road, rail, and air travel. It also disrupts daily life, leading to school closures, delays in economic activities, and increased accident risks.

Urban areas located downwind of agricultural regions are particularly affected, demonstrating the far-reaching impacts of residue burning.

Economic and Environmental Externalities

The environmental damage caused by residue burning translates into significant economic costs. These include increased healthcare expenditures, loss of labour productivity due to illness, and damage to crops and infrastructure.

Additionally, governments incur substantial costs in monitoring, controlling, and mitigating pollution. The long-term degradation of soil and water resources also affects agricultural productivity, leading to economic losses for farmers and the broader economy.

These externalities highlight the need for comprehensive policies that internalize the environmental costs of residue burning and promote sustainable practices.

Regional and Global Perspectives

Agricultural residue burning is a widespread global phenomenon, though its intensity and implications vary across regions depending on agricultural systems, socio-economic conditions, and policy frameworks. Understanding these regional and global dimensions is essential for designing effective and context-specific mitigation strategies.

In South Asia, particularly in India, Pakistan, and Nepal, residue burning is closely associated with intensive cropping systems such as the rice–wheat rotation. In India, the northwestern states—Punjab, Haryana, and western Uttar Pradesh—are major hotspots. The practice has gained considerable attention due to its contribution to seasonal air pollution in the Indo-Gangetic Plain. During the post-monsoon period, emissions from burning combine with meteorological conditions like temperature inversion and low wind speeds, resulting in severe smog episodes that affect urban areas, including Delhi (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019; Lan et al., 2022).

In China, agricultural residue burning was historically common, especially in regions with large-scale cereal production. However, the government has implemented strict regulations, real-time satellite monitoring, and incentives for alternative uses such as bioenergy production. These measures have significantly reduced open-field burning in many areas, demonstrating the effectiveness of strong policy enforcement and technological integration (Zhang et al., 2018).

Southeast Asian countries such as Indonesia and Thailand also experience biomass burning, often linked to both agricultural residue disposal and land clearing for plantations. These practices contribute to transboundary haze, affecting air quality in neighboring countries. Regional cooperation under the Association of Southeast Asian Nations framework has attempted to address this issue, although challenges in enforcement and compliance persist (Kim Oanh et al., 2011).

In Sub-Saharan Africa, residue burning is commonly practiced in traditional farming systems, primarily for land clearing and pest control. The scale may be smaller compared to South Asia, but the environmental impacts are still significant due to limited access to modern technologies and sustainable alternatives (FAO, 2017).

From a global perspective, agricultural residue burning is a major contributor to biomass burning, which significantly influences atmospheric composition and climate systems. It is estimated that biomass burning contributes a substantial share of global emissions of greenhouse gases and aerosols, affecting both regional air quality and global climate patterns (Crutzen & Andreae, 1990). Emissions from such practices can travel long distances, demonstrating the transboundary nature of the problem.

International organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme emphasize sustainable residue management practices, including conservation agriculture, composting, and bioenergy utilization. These approaches align with global sustainability goals and aim to reduce environmental degradation while enhancing agricultural productivity (FAO, 2017).

Government Policies, Initiatives and Sustainable Alternatives to Residue Burning

Governments across the world, particularly in agrarian economies, have recognized agricultural residue burning as a critical environmental issue and have introduced a range of policy measures, technological interventions, and incentive-based schemes to address it. In India, where the problem is most acute in the northwestern states, a combination of regulatory and supportive approaches has been adopted to curb the practice.

One of the key policy responses has been the imposition of legal restrictions on open-field burning under environmental protection laws. The National Green Tribunal has issued directives banning stubble burning and mandating state governments to enforce penalties on violators. Despite these measures, enforcement remains challenging due to the dispersed nature of agricultural activities and socio-economic constraints faced by farmers (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019).

To complement regulatory measures, the government has introduced schemes such as the *Crop Residue Management (CRM) Scheme*, which provides subsidies for machinery like Happy Seeders, Super Straw Management Systems (SMS), and rotavators. These technologies enable in-situ management of residues by allowing farmers to sow the next crop without removing or burning the stubble. The promotion of such machinery has shown positive results in reducing burning incidents in certain regions (NAAS, 2017).

Technological interventions also include satellite-based monitoring systems developed by agencies such as the Indian Space Research Organisation, which track fire events in real time. This enables authorities to identify hotspots and take timely action. However, monitoring alone is insufficient without effective ground-level implementation and farmer cooperation.

In addition to government efforts, international organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme have advocated sustainable residue management practices. These include conservation agriculture, which emphasizes minimal soil disturbance, crop rotation, and residue retention to improve soil health and reduce environmental impact (FAO, 2017).

Sustainable alternatives to residue burning can be broadly categorized into in-situ and ex-situ management practices. In-situ methods involve incorporating residues into the soil through mulching or zero-tillage techniques. This not only eliminates the need for burning but also enhances soil organic matter, improves moisture retention, and reduces dependence on chemical fertilizers (Sidhu et al., 2007).

Ex-situ management involves utilizing crop residues for economic purposes such as bioenergy production, biofuels, composting, and raw material for industries. For instance, biomass power plants can use agricultural residues as fuel, providing an additional income source for farmers. Similarly, residues can be converted into biogas or ethanol, contributing to renewable energy goals (Porichha et al., 2021).

Despite the availability of these alternatives, their adoption remains limited due to factors such as high initial costs, lack of infrastructure, and limited awareness among farmers. Therefore, policy measures must focus not only on providing financial incentives but also on strengthening extension services, improving market linkages, and ensuring accessibility of technologies.

Case Study: Agricultural Residue Burning In Siddharth Nagar District Of Uttar Pradesh

Agricultural residue burning has emerged as a significant environmental challenge in many parts of India, including eastern Uttar Pradesh. Siddharth Nagar district, located in the Terai region along the Indo-Nepal border, represents an important case for understanding the dynamics of this issue beyond the traditionally studied northwestern states. The district's agrarian structure, characterized by small landholdings and limited mechanization, presents unique challenges for sustainable crop residue management.

Siddharth Nagar is predominantly an agricultural district with fertile alluvial soils that support intensive cultivation. The dominant cropping pattern is the rice–wheat system, supplemented by pulses and oilseeds. In recent years, the gradual adoption of mechanized harvesting methods, particularly combine harvesters, has contributed to increased volumes of crop residues left in the fields. Unlike traditional practices where residues were manually collected and reused, mechanized harvesting leaves scattered straw and stubble that are difficult to manage efficiently (Sidhu et al., 2007). Consequently, farmers often resort to burning as a quick and cost-effective method of clearing fields.

The extent of residue burning in Siddharth Nagar has shown a rising trend in recent years. Satellite-based monitoring and regional reports indicate that eastern Uttar Pradesh districts, including Siddharth Nagar, record a significant number of fire incidents during the post-harvest season (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019). This increase reflects both the growing adoption of mechanization and the lack of accessible alternatives for residue management. The problem becomes particularly acute during the transition from the kharif to the rabi season, when farmers face intense pressure to prepare fields for wheat cultivation within a short time frame.

Several interrelated factors contribute to the persistence of residue burning in the district. Economic constraints are among the most critical. Most farmers in Siddharth Nagar are small or marginal landholders with limited financial capacity to invest in residue management technologies such as Happy Seeders, straw balers, or mulchers. These technologies, although effective, involve high initial costs and operational expenses, making them inaccessible to many farmers (World Bank, 2018). In contrast, burning residues requires minimal financial input, making it an economically viable option.

Time constraints further exacerbate the issue. The gap between harvesting paddy and sowing wheat is typically less than two to three weeks. During this period, farmers must clear residues, prepare the soil, and complete sowing operations. Burning provides a rapid solution that allows farmers to meet strict agricultural timelines and avoid yield losses (NAAS, 2017).

Another significant factor is the lack of awareness and technical knowledge among farmers. Many are not fully aware of the long-term environmental and health consequences of residue burning, including soil degradation, air pollution, and climate change. Additionally, knowledge about alternative practices and their benefits remains limited, particularly in remote rural areas (Bhuvaneshwari et al., 2019).

The absence of a well-developed market for crop residues also contributes to the problem. Although residues can be used for bioenergy, composting, or industrial purposes, the infrastructure for collection, transportation, and processing is largely absent in Siddharth Nagar. This reduces the economic incentive for farmers to adopt sustainable practices, leading them to treat residues as waste (Porichha et al., 2021).

The environmental impacts of residue burning in Siddharth Nagar are substantial. Air pollution is the most immediate effect, as burning releases particulate matter (PM, ... and PM •) and harmful gases such as carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides. These pollutants degrade local air quality and can travel long distances, contributing to regional pollution (Lan et al., 2022). During peak burning periods, the district experiences reduced visibility and increased respiratory discomfort among residents.

Residue burning also has adverse effects on soil health. High temperatures generated during burning destroy beneficial microorganisms and reduce soil organic matter. This leads to a decline in soil fertility and increased dependence on chemical fertilizers, ultimately affecting long-term agricultural productivity (Singh et al., 2005).

Human health is significantly affected as well. Exposure to smoke and airborne pollutants can cause respiratory illnesses, eye irritation, and cardiovascular problems. Vulnerable populations, including children and the elderly, are particularly at risk (Raza et al., 2022).

Recognizing these challenges, the government of Uttar Pradesh has implemented several measures to control residue burning. These include satellite-based monitoring of fire incidents, provision of subsidies for residue management machinery, and awareness campaigns. However, the effectiveness of these initiatives in Siddharth Nagar remains limited due to issues such as inadequate infrastructure, limited access to machinery, and weak enforcement of regulations (World Bank, 2018).

To address these challenges, sustainable alternatives must be promoted at the local level. In-situ management techniques, such as mulching and zero tillage, can help retain residues in the soil, improving fertility and moisture retention. Ex-situ options, including composting and biomass energy production, offer opportunities for value addition and income generation (Porichha et al., 2021). Community-based approaches, such as cooperative ownership of machinery, can also enhance accessibility and affordability.

In conclusion, the case of Siddharth Nagar district highlights the complex interplay of economic, technological, and socio-cultural factors that drive agricultural residue burning. While the issue shares similarities with other regions, its local context requires targeted and inclusive solutions. Strengthening extension services, improving access to affordable technologies, and creating economic incentives for residue utilization are essential for sustainable residue management. Addressing this issue is crucial not only for local environmental protection but also for improving regional air quality and contributing to broader climate change mitigation efforts.

Conclusion

The causes of agricultural residue burning are multifaceted and interrelated, encompassing technological advancements, economic pressures, institutional weaknesses, and socio-cultural dynamics. Addressing this issue requires a holistic approach that considers these underlying drivers and provides practical, accessible, and economically viable alternatives to farmers. Without tackling these root causes, efforts to reduce residue burning are unlikely to achieve sustainable success. The environmental problems associated with agricultural residue burning are extensive and interconnected. From deteriorating air quality and contributing to climate change to degrading soil health and threatening biodiversity, the impacts are both immediate and long-term. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-dimensional approach that integrates environmental, economic, and social considerations. Agricultural residue burning is a global environmental challenge with region-specific characteristics. While countries like China have made significant progress through policy and technological interventions, regions such as South Asia continue to face challenges due to socio-economic and institutional constraints. Addressing this issue requires coordinated efforts at both national and international levels, particularly in regions affected by transboundary air pollution. While governments have made significant efforts to address residue burning through policies and initiatives, the success of these measures depends on their effective implementation and acceptance by farmers. A balanced approach that combines regulation, incentives, technological innovation, and awareness is essential for promoting sustainable alternatives and achieving long-term environmental sustainability.

Recommendations

Addressing the problem of agricultural residue burning requires a comprehensive and multi-dimensional approach that integrates policy, technology, and community participation. First, governments should strengthen the implementation of existing regulations while ensuring that punitive measures are balanced with supportive incentives for farmers. Financial assistance in the form of subsidies and easy credit must be expanded to promote the adoption of residue management technologies such as Happy Seeders and mulchers. Second, there is a need to enhance awareness and capacity-building programs through agricultural extension services. Farmers should be educated about the environmental, economic, and health impacts of residue burning, as well as trained in sustainable alternatives. Demonstration projects at the village level can help build confidence in new technologies. Third, the development of a robust market for agricultural residues is essential. Promoting industries based on biomass energy, biofuels, and composting can create additional income opportunities for farmers and reduce the incentive to burn residues.

Finally, collaborative efforts involving government agencies, research institutions, and local communities are crucial. Encouraging community-based solutions and integrating traditional knowledge with modern practices can ensure long-term sustainability and significantly reduce the incidence of residue burning.

Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

References

1. Abdurrahman, M. I., Chaki, S., & Saini, G. (2020). Stubble burning: Effects on health and environment, regulations, and management practices. Environmental Advances, 2, 100011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2020.100011
2. Batabyal, A. A. (2025). Agricultural residue burning and its impact on urban air pollution. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. Advance online publication.
3. Bhuvaneshwari, S., Hettiarachchi, H., & Meegoda, J. N. (2019). Crop residue burning in India: Policy challenges and potential solutions. Environmental Research, 178, 108–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108112
4. Crutzen, P. J., & Andreae, M. O. (1990). Biomass burning in the tropics: Impact on atmospheric chemistry and biogeochemical cycles. Science, 250(4988), 1669–1678.
5. Drishti IAS. (2025). Stubble burning in India. https://www.drishtiias.com
6. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2017). Crop residue management in conservation agriculture. FAO.
7. Gupta, P. K., Sahai, S., Singh, N., Dixit, C. K., Singh, D. P., Sharma, C., & Garg, S. C. (2004). Residue burning in rice–wheat cropping system: Causes and implications. Current Science, 87(12), 1713–1717.
8. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). (2019). Air pollution from crop burning in India: Economic and health costs. https://southasia.ifpri.info
9. Jain, N., Bhatia, A., & Pathak, H. (2014). Emission of air pollutants from crop residue burning in India. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 14(1), 422–430.
10. Kim Oanh, N. T., et al. (2011). Characterization of particulate matter emission from open burning of rice straw. Atmospheric Environment, 45(2), 493–502.
11. Kumar, P., Kumar, S., & Joshi, L. (2015). The extent and management of crop residue stubble. Springer.
12. Lan, R., et al. (2022). Air quality impacts of crop residue burning in India. Nature Communications, 13, 6537. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34093-z
13. Mondal, S. H. (2024). Impact of stubble burning on climate change: Evidence from developing economies. International Economics. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41775-024-00227-x
14. National Academy of Agricultural Sciences (NAAS). (2017). Innovative viable solution to rice residue burning in rice–wheat cropping system. Policy Paper No. 65.
15. Parihar, C. M., et al. (2018). Bio-energy, residue management and environmental sustainability. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 63(4), 363–371.
16. Porichha, G. K., Mishra, S. K., & Panigrahi, S. (2021). Crop residue management: A sustainable approach toward energy and environment. Energies, 14(14), 4281. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14144281
17. Raza, M. H., Abid, M., & Ashfaq, M. (2022). Environmental and health impacts of crop residue burning: A review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(3), 1234. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031234
18. Sidhu, H. S., Singh, M., Humphreys, E., Singh, Y., Singh, B., Dhillon, S. S., & Blackwell, J. (2007).

The Happy Seeder enables direct drilling of wheat into rice stubble. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 47(7), 844–854.

19.. Singh, Y., Singh, B., Timsina, J., & Humphreys, E. (2005). Crop residue management for nutrient cycling and improving soil productivity. Advances in Agronomy, 85, 269–407.

20. Tripathi, R., Nayak, A. K., & Raja, R. (2016). Constraints and opportunities of crop residue management in India. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 10(2), 45–60.

21. World Bank. (2018). Managing crop residue burning in South Asia: Issues and potential solutions. World Bank Group.

22. Yang, Z., et al. (2025). Public perceptions and awareness of air pollution from crop residue burning. Scientific Reports, 15. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-21235-8

23. Zhang, X., et al. (2018). Air pollution and control action in China: Policies and impacts. Environmental Science & Technology, 52(2), 117–125.

Cite this Article

"Dr. Vishal Gupta", "Agricultural Residues as Potential Hazards to Environment", ResearchPro International Multidisciplinary Journal (RPIMJ), ISSN: 3107-9679 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:1, January-March 2026.